1	
2	and sentence, have you previously filed any petitions, applicat-
3	ions or motions with respect to this judgment in any court, state or federal?
4	Yes X No
5 6	16. If your answer to No. 13 was "yes," give the following
7	information:
·	(a) (1) Name of court: DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY NEVADA
8	Department IV/ In the supreme court of the state of Nevada.
9	(2) Nature of proceeding: Petition for post conviction relief
10	motion to vacate sentence.
11	
12	(3) Grounds raised: Plea of guilty was not knowingly and voul-
13	entarly entered petitoners sentence of life without was violative
14	of the cruel and unusual punishment/ineffective assistance of
15	counsel.
16	
17 18	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition,
19	application or motion? Yes No X
	(5) Result: Denied
20	(6) Date of result: March 25, 1992
21	(7) If known, citations of any written opinion or date of
22	orders entered pursuant to such result: None known
23	
24 	(b) As to any second petition, application or motion, give
25	the same information:
6	(1) Name of court: DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY DEPT IV
7	(2) Nature of proceeding: MOTION TO VACATE SENTENCE
\mathbf{s}	

-4-

1	(3) Grounds raised:defendants presentence report contained		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	(4) Did you receive an evidentiary hearing on your petition,		
7	application or motion? Yes No_X		
8	(5) Result: DISMISSED		
9	(6) Date of result: 7/3/95		
10	(7) If known, ditations of any written opinion or date of		
11	orders entered pursuant to each result: N/A		
12			
13	(c) As to any third or subsequent additional applications or		
14	motions, give the same information as above, list them on a separate sheet and attach.		
15	(d) Did you appeal to the highest state or federal court		
16	having jurisdiction, the result or action taken on ay petition, application or motion?		
17	(1) First petition, application or motion? Yes X No		
18	Citation or date of decision: 1/24/94		
19	(2) Second petition, application or motion? Yes No x		
20	Citation or date of decision: X		
21	(3) Third or subsequent petitions, applications or motions?		
22	Yes No		
23	Citation or date of decision:		
24	(e) If you did not appeal from the adverse action on any		
i	petition, application or motion, explain briefly why you did not.		
	(You must relate specific facts in response to this question. Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches		
İ	attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed five hand- written or typewritten pages in length.)		
27 			
28	HAD TO FILE WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS WITHIN ONE YEAR.		

-5-

1 2 2 3 17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been viously presented to this or any other court by way of pet for habeas corpus, post-conviction relief pursuant to chap of NRS, motion or application? If so, identify: 5 (a) Which of the grounds is the same: INEFFECTIVE ASS	. pre-
2 17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been viously presented to this or any other court by way of pet for habeas corpus, post-conviction relief pursuant to chap of NRS, motion or application? If so, identify: 5 (a) Which of the grounds is the same: INEFFECTIVE ASS	ı pre-
17. Has any ground being raised in this petition been viously presented to this or any other court by way of pet for habeas corpus, post-conviction relief pursuant to chap of NRS, motion or application? If so, identify: 5 (a) Which of the grounds is the same: INEFFECTIVE ASS	ı pre-
viously presented to this or any other court by way of pet for habeas corpus, post-conviction relief pursuant to chap of NRS, motion or application? If so, identify: 5 (a) Which of the grounds is the same: INEFFECTIVE ASS	
5 (a) Which of the grounds is the same: INEFFECTIVE ASS	ition
(a) Which of the grounds is the same: INEFFECTIVE ASS	
6	ISTANCE
OF COUNSEL.	
(b) The proceedings in which these grounds were raise	·d:
9 POST CONVICTION RELIEF.	
10	
11	•
(c) Briefly explain why you are again raising these g	rounde
13 (You must relate specific facts in response to this question	on.
Your response may be included on paper which is 8 1/2 by 1 attached to the petition. Your response may not exceed firm written pages in length.)	ve hand-
To have issue decided on its merits now presented befor the	e
16 court.	
17 l8. If any of the grounds listed in Nos. 23(a), (b),	
18 (d), or listed on any additional pages you have attached, we previously presented in any other court, state or federal,	were not
19 briefly what grounds were not so presented, and give your not presenting them. (You must relate specific facts in	reasons
response to this question. Your response may be included of which is 8 1/2 by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your	on paper
21 response may not exceed five handwritten or typewritten page	
in length.) 22	
23 Plea induced by prosecuting attorney through coecion, tric	kory
threats.Const. violation.Conviction obtained by use(contd.	pg.10)
25 19. Are you filing this petition more than 1 year fol	lowing
the filing of the judgment of conviction or the filing of a decision on direct appeal? If so, state briefly the reason	1
the delay. (You must relate specific facts in response to 27 question. Your response may be included on paper which is	this
by 11 inches attached to the petition. Your response may n 28 exceed five handwritten or typewritten pages in length.)	

1	Petitioner filing withen one year of judgement by the nevada
2	surprem court.
3	20. Do you have any petition or appeal now pending in any
4	court, either state or federal, as to the judgment under attack?
5	YesNo_x_
6	If yes, state what court and the case number:
7	
8	21. Give the name of each attorney who represented you in
9	the proceeding resulting in your conviction and on direct appeal:
10	(David Gbson/Stephen Dahlpublic defender)Elizabeth McMahon
11	Mark B.Bailous
12	22. Do you have any future sentences to serve after you com- plete the sentence imposed by the judgment under attack?
13	YesNo_X
14	If yes, state what court and the case number:
15	
16 17 18	23. State concisely every ground on which you claim that you are being held unlawfully. Summarize briefly the facts supporting each ground. If necessary you may attach pages stating additional grounds and facts supporting same.
19	(a) Ground one: Plea induced by prosecuting attorney
20	through coercion, trickory ,and threats. Const violation.
21	Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases
22	or law.): Supporting facts on record, prosecuting statementspage
23) A plea of guilty can not stand where it(continued PG 11)
24	
25 ∥	(b) Ground two: Ineffective assistance of counsel a sixth
26	Amendment violation.
27	Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases
28	or law.): Petitioner was denied effective assistance of counsel

-7-

1	from prelimin y hearing to the sentecing (continued page)		
2			
3	(c) Ground three: Conviction obtained by use of illegally		
4	and corced confession. Miranda violation.		
5	Supporting FACTS (Tell your story briefly without citing cases		
6			
7			
8			
9	(d) Grout i four:		
10			
11	Supporting FAC (Tell your story briefly without citing cases		
12	or law.):		
13			
14			
15	WHEREFORE, petitioner prays that the court grant petitioner		
16	relief to which he may be entitled in this proceeding.		
17	EXECUTED at Market on the day of		
18	<u>1-1111</u> , 19 <u>-11</u> .		
19			
20			
21	Signature of a corney (if any) Signature of petitioner		
22	Attorney for politioner Address		
23			
24	Address		
25	VERIFICATION		
26	Under penalty of perjury, the undersigned declares that he		
27	is the petitioner named in the foregoing petition and knows the		
28	contents thereof; that the pleading is true of his own knowledge,		

-8-

1	except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and
2	as to such matters he believes them to be true.
3	
4	Petitioner
5	
6	Attorney for petitioner
7	
8	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL
9 .	I,, hereby certify pursuant to
10	N.R.C.P. 5(b), that on this day of
11	19 . I mailed a true and correct copy of the foregoing PETITION
	FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS addressed to: To MS LORETTA BOWMAN 200 SOUTH THIRD STREET P.O.BOX 551601
13	LAS VEGAS, NV 89155-1601
14	Warden E.K.Mcdaniel Respondent prison or jail official
15	P.O.BOX 1989 E.S.P ELY NEVADA 89301
16	
17	Address
18	
19	Attorney General Heroes' Memorial Building
20	Capitol Complex Carson City, Nevada 89710
21	carson city, nevada os ito
22	Stewart L. Bell
23	District Attorney of County of Conviction
24	200 S THIRD ST STE 701 PO BOX 552212
25	LAS VEGAS NV 89155-2212
26	Address
27	
28	Signature of Petitioner

-9-

```
(continued from page<u>6-0</u>)
 1
      of illegally obtained conffession. miranda violation.
 2
      Filling to exhaust state remedys filed withen 1 year of judg-
 3
    ment by the Nevada supreme Court that court appointed attorney
 4
    Mark B. Bailous would not raise but told defendant to raise them
   on habeas Corpus petition withen one year of judgement to exhaust
    state remedys so that you may proceed to federal court.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

SUPPORTING FACTS FOR GROUND I

(continued from page 7-A) is the coercion by the state: State told defendant if you plead guilty I will drop the robbry and will not persue it any futher but you must stipulate to the sentence of life without the possiblity of parole but what he did not disclose was that defendant was illegally charged with robbry charge because he knew if robbry was used and to have been used in the plea negotations the plea has been tainted , supported by states attorneys statements on record ID page 23

Attorney for the statedropped robbery at the plea hearing and said it is not even considered but attorney for the defendant contridicts this on record when he states, My understanding was your honer ,is that the state will not go down and try to certify our client on the robbery charge and bring him back those charges will not be pursued any futher ID page 24/15. Counsel for the defendant states this on Record.

Attorney for the state says that I do believe that counsel for the defendant will agree on the record that dismisal of count II in no way has anything to do with the negotations and is not consideration. Counsel for the defendant never aggrees to this on record before the judge dismisses the charge ID page 24. Because this was not true counsel told defendant that the negotiations were, he plead guilty and the robbry will be dropped and he stipulate to life without the possibilty of parole andit will not be pursued any futher. Counsel never agreed to this on record because he was under the same impression that the defendant was THAT the robbry was apart of the plea negotiations. Which leaves the record bare of which plea agrement the defendant plead to the one proposed by the states attorney or the one proposed

by the defendants counsel?

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

When the sentencing judge heard this he should have inquired depper into the facts of wheather or not the robbery was used to induce plea by threats of futher procecution or was the robbry not apart of the plea agreement and had defendants counsel stipulate to this on record. Sentencing judge must develope on record the factual basis for the guilty plea when it rest in any significants degree on a promise or agreement made by the prosecutor, the essence of those promises must in some way be made known so that the judge knows that it was not unfairly obtained because if the defendant has been tricked by the procecution through mis representation into pleading guilty then his due process rights of the united states constituation has been violated. Threatening to bring additional prosecution which is clear renders plea voidable, prosecutor undercuts the basis for the waiver of Constitutional rights implicit in the plea when he makes false statement on record. The most meticulous standards of both promise and performance must be met by prosecutors engaging in plea bargainning, contradictory or confusing statements of the law are not adquate when defendant is giving up precious rights gareenteed by the United States Constitution.

The court should not have accepted the plea in light of the misunderstanding which obviously existed of wheather defendant was threatend with futher future prosocution or was the robbrey not considered. It is clear however from counsels statements on record that the robbry was apart of the plea negotions.

There should have been futher consulting with the court and procedutor and the defendants counsel in an effort to arrive at

-12 -

an agreeable and legal plea negotiations after which the defendant should have been able to inform the court as to the course of action he wishes to take. It is the defendants rights who are being violated when the plea agreement is broken or meaningless, IT is his waiver which must be voluntary and knowing, He offers that waiver not in exchange for the actual sentence or impact on the judge but for the prosecutors statements in court, if they are not adequate the waiver is ineffective and a violation of the United States Constituion. A plea of guilty shown to be unfairly obtained or giving through ignorance, fear, coercion, and or trickory can be vacated by the court.

For the grounds the defendant has set befor the court the defendant Prays and hopes that the court will vacate the plea and give the petitioner the opportunity to plead anew.

//

16 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 | //

23 / /

24 //

25 / /

11

26

27 //

28

-13-

SUPPORTING LACIS FOR GROUND II

(countinued from page (countinued from page (countinued from page (countinued from page (countinued from page (countinued from page (countinued from page (countinued from page (countinued from the state that defendant was 18 when in fact he was 16 and because of this the attorney for the state proceded against defendant with an amended complaint charging defendant with robbry with the useof a deadly weapon and defendant was held to answer (ID page (countinued for the state statements on record): (Befor I describe the negotiations I should INform the court that I do NOt believe that he is properly charged with robbery with use of a deadly weapon. At preliminary hearing stage I initally believed him to be 16. One of his attorneys Inadvertently toked me he was 18. Because of that I proceeded against him with an amended complaint charging him with robbery with the use of a deadly weapon and he was held to answer.)

For three months defendant stood charged illegally with robbry in adult court. Counsel cannot have done any investigation into defendants case if he told attorney for the state this at prelimnary hearing as the attorney for the state states on record this fact.

If counsel would have at least read defendants statements to police which was used in and at preliminary hearing he would have known that defendant was 16 because it says this right on top (IDpage 26). Counsels statement no matter how inadvertent did not lesson its impact because illigally charged robbry was used in plea negotations (ID page 24/25 Counsels statements:

Mr dahl: Thats correct, this plea is being made pursuant to NRS 174.065, the party agrees to a degree of crime if theres seperate degrees of crime, and also in the case of murder stipulate to a punishment less than death. And the other under-

8

1 ||

2

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

standing is your honor that the state will not go down and try to certify our client on the robbery and bring him back .those charges will not be pursued any futher.)

These statements took place October 12 1988 2 and a half months after counsel gave false statement to Attorney for the state. In this time period of two and a half months no motions were filed to correct defendant from being charged in adult court with the robbry charge when counsel new defendant was only 16 because he came to visit him in juvenile tank in the ccounty jail but he still did nothing but let the underlying felony hang above defendants head then be used in plea nogotiation which tainted plea, defence counsel as well as prosecution counsel must know or learn about relevant law and evaluate its application to his client with respect to plea bargain and failure to do so will amount to constitutionall ineffective assistance of counsel and undermine validity of plea. Counsel never told defendant that he was illagally charged with robbry if he had told defendant this and or filed motions he would not have been coerced tricked and threatend by state to induce plea, he would have been given the oppertunity to fight certification and have robbery taken to juvenile court or dropped by the state adult court when defendant had no violent charges from the past or never being committed to Elko or Spring mountain youth authorty this possibilty of defence was there (ID page 23/24 attorney for the state statements on record: (and as I understand the law nowalthough a person of any age can originally be charged in adult court with murder , for any other crime even if committed during the murderous trans action he

-15-

has to be originally charged in juvenile court , THE COURT: He has to be certified .)

Counsels performance was deficient, counsel made errors so serious that counsel could not have been functioning of the counsel gareenteed the defendant by the sixth amendment if not for these errors the end result of defendants case would have been diffrent because he would have not pleaded guilty and stipulated to life without the possibilty of parole, when a plea agreement is dissussed and hence sentencing becomes the clients preeminent concern, it is incumbent on counsel to acquaint himself with all the available alternatives and their consequences for the defendants liberty and rehabilitation. Counsels lack of knowlege here is inexcusable.

Counsel failed to object when attorney for the state said on record that the robbry was not apart of the plea negotations and is not considered (ID page 14) defendants statements on record contridicts this (ID page 15). Counsel has duity to cosult with defendant on important developments and decisions in the course of the prosecution. Had counsel done this the robbrey would not have been used to induce plea.

Counsel cannot even be have said to know if defendant can read or write, when he said to court that he only had two years of schooling (ID PAGE <u>17</u>) This should have been a factor but wasnot. Defendant was not even givven a psychological evalution givving his age and the severity of the crime this should have applied but was not.

SUPPORTING 'C' FOR GROUND III

(continued from page $\frac{2}{3}$ - $\frac{1}{3}$) 1988 prior to his arrest Defendant 1 was not read his Miranda rights which constituted a violation of his fifth amendant right. Defendant was taken into one room where he was questioned with his uncle Webster leonard davis he then was taken into another room where where no Miranda rights 5 were read just like in the previous room except in the second room there was a typest present and his uncle, he then was questioned further and the typest typed everything that was said, the defendant and his uncle were then taken to the room from 9 which they had started where they were asked to sighn by the X 10 and after this was done the defendant was then read his rights 11 and placed under arrest and asked to empty his pockets, defendant 12 was not read his rights and was not told that his statements 13 would lead to adult procecution in adult court. The next day defendant asked a black female gaurd when was he going to juvenil 15 she in return asked him how old he was and the defendant replied 16 16 she said you are not going to juvenile and walked off sing-17 ging a church song The defendant asked the court for a discovery 18 as to the female gaurd of african american nature who worked the 19 mornning of augest 2,1988. The courts have held that it was 20 entirely unrelistic to carve out the fifth amendment and all 21 statements by juvenils on the ground that these could not lead 22 to criminal involvement the court thus granted juveniles the 23 protection of adult statues. Before any questions can be asked 24 defendant is to be read his miranda rights, in this case before 25 the court this was not done and defendant should be afforded 26 the oppertunity to call these witnesses to testify in the court 28 of law as to these facts and to bring in a lye detector machine

for the arresting officer, defendant, and Webster Leonard Davis.

There is the question of: DO YOU UNDERSTAND FULLY WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN TOLD,) AT THE top of the waiver, then you are to sighn YES or NO THEN YOUR NAME, the defendant sighned his name but he did not sighn yes that he understood, the detective sighned yes (ID Page 26) the defendant did not because he did not understand. The defendant can prove in the court of law that he didnot sighn YES or anything else but his name for a fact. Now this was truly illegal for the detective to do because it is the defendant who is givving up the rights not the detective.

A guilty plea cannot stand when it is obtained by use of an illegally obtained confession. The detective testified that he took the whole statement in one room but this was false because Karen Payn sat and watched them go from one room to the other and back again and can testify to this fact along with the defendants uncle who was in each room with the defendant.

2

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

2

3

4

5

6

1

JIMMIE DAVIS

Petitioner

CASE NO.C85078 DEPT.NO IV DOCKET "C"

∥ v.

THE WARDEN OF ELY STATE PRISON E.K.MCDANIEL Respondent

was illegally charged.

7

8

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

9

I JIMMIE DAVIS DO HEREBY swear under the penalty of perjury hat the assertions of this affidavit are true:

11

1 I am the above defendant in the entitled action.

1213

2 I make this affidavit in support of my motion for writ of habeas corpus.

14

3 That petitioner is competent to testify and therfor

would be able to do so if called upon to testify in the court of

16

15

law.
4 That petitioner is entitled to the relief sought.

17 18

5 Tthat petitoner makes this affidavit in good faith.

19 20

6That petioner was denied due process of law

2122

of counsel at prelimanary, during plea negotiations, and plea hearing. counselnever explained to petitioner that the robbry

7 That petitioner was denied the effective assistance

2324

was not apart of the plea negotiations and that petitioner

25

26

27

8 that counsel was ineffective because he never talked to defendants uncle about how the arrest was done and or if any rights were read to defendant.

28

-19-

. . . -

9 That defendant was not read his rights prior to his statements 1 to police. 2 10That defendant was taken into one room with his uncle and que-3 stioned where no rights were read, he then was taken into another 4 room where on the way in the hallway his unclespoke to a karen 5 Payn who came with him and said they would be ready to go in a minute because she had some personal buisness to attend to so she sat on the chair while they went into another room where a female typed every thing that was said and no rights were read in this room eather, they then were taken into the orignal room again where the defendant was asked to sighen by the X and thenread his 10 rights and told to empty his pockets he was under arrest for mur 11 der. he gave the contents to his uncle and was cuffed. 12 11 Webster Leonard Davis and Karen Payn can testify to these facts because webster Davis was with the defendant and Karen Payne was in the hallway waiting for them and watched them go from one room to the next, Durring which she talked to Leonard Davis. 14 12 Defendant did not Know he was being charged in adult court 15 with crime because the next day in the morning he asked a black female Gaurd when was he going to juvenile and she asked him how 16 old he was and he told her he was 16 and she said you are not 17 going to juvenile and walked off singging a church song. 18 13that counsel was ineffective when he failed to explain that he 19 was illegally charged with the underlying feloney of robbery. 20 21 14 That defendant was denied effective assistance of counsel 22 when counsel gave false statement to attorney for the state 23 about defendants age and he was held to answer for the ro-24 bbery charge in adult court when he was suppose to be charged 25 in juvenile court $\,$ or certified as to the robbery charge . 26 facts supported by the record.and to all witnesses that should 27 be called to testify.

-70-

15 That defendant was to \mathbf{k} d robbry would be dropped in return for his plea of guilty and it is apart of the plea negotiations

16 That the record is bare of which plea agreement defendant pled guilty to , the one the attorney for the state proposed or the one proposed by the defendants counsel

17 Attorney for the state misled defendants counsel and defendant with a false plea aggrement and by stating on record that robbry had nithing to do with the plea negotiations when counsel for the defendant contridict thison record.

18That if counsel would have at least read the defendants statements to the police at the preliminary hearing he would have known that defendant was 16 because statement says it right at the top therfor counseles ineffectivness made him unable to make reasonable decesions for the defendants case when he let defendant answer to the robbry charge in adult court.

19 That defendant believed he was rightfully charged with robbry and would not have pleaded guilty if he would have known he was illagly charged with the robbry

20 Attorney for the state and attorney for the defendant coerced defendant into pleading guilty by letting the under lying feloney hang above defendants head for which he was illagly charged for 3to4 months and then used in plea negotiations.

21That plea cannot stand when it is induced by coercion trickory, or false promisesmade by the state or counsel for the defendant.

22That defendant was told that prosocution will not persue robbry charge futherand it is apart of the plea agree-

ment and negotiations in return for his plea of guilty.

23 that on the sighned waiver at the top where it says DO YOU

UNDERSTAND FULLY WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THE DEFENDANT

DID NOT write the word yes and the detective did when hecannot do this because he is not the one givving up his rights.

JIMMIE DAVIS 27362

I the undersigned, hereby declare under under the penalty of perjury, pursuant to NRS 208.165, that the forgoing is true and correct.

Excuted this DAY OF GUEUS [1995 at Ely state prison.

gammis and

JIMMIE DAVIS 27362 P.O.BOX 1989 (ESP) ELY NEVADA 89301 LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY; WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 1988

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: C85078, Jimmie Davis. The record will show the presence of the defendant, his counsel, Mr. Gibson and Mr. Dahl, and Mr. Henry for the State.

Mr. Henry.

MR. HENRY: Your Honor, we have a proposed resolution. The defendant right now stands charged in an Information with two counts, murder with use of a deadly weapon and robbery with use of a deadly weapon. Before I describe the negotiations I should inform the court that I do not believe that he is properly charged with robbery with use of a deadly weapon. At the preliminary hearing stage I initially believed him to be 16. One of his attorneys inadvertently told me he was 18. Because of that I proceeded against him with an amended complaint charging him with robbery with use of a deadly weapon and he was held to answer.

After he was held to answer I've had discussions with his counsel and conducted some investigation and I believe him to be 16. And as I understand the law now although a person of any age can originally be charged in the adult courts with murder, for

-23-

any other crime even if it was committed during the 1 murderous transaction he has to be charged originally in 2 juvenile court. 3 THE COURT: He has to be certified. 4 MR. HENRY: Therefore initially I'd move to 5 dismiss Count II and I want the record to reflect and I 6 believe that his counsel will agree on the record that the 7 dismissal of Count II in no way has anything to do with the 8 negotiation and is not consideration. 9 Having said that --10 THE COURT: That's jurisdictional. 11 MR. HENRY: Yes. 12 THE COURT: I will grant that motion. Count II 13 is ordered dismissed for that reason. 14 MR. HENRY: Having said that, the proposed 15 negotiation would be that the defendant plead to first 16 degree murder without the use of a deadly weapon as a lesser 17 included offense of Count I and stipulate that the 18 punishment for that first degree murder would be life 19 without the possibility of parole in the Nevada State 20 Prison. 21 22 23

MR. DAHL: That's correct. This plea is being made pursuant to N.R.S. 174.065, the party agrees to a degree of crime if there's separate degrees of crime, and also in the case of murder stipulate to a punishment less

24

1	than death. And the other understanding is, Your Honor,
2	that the State will not go down and try to certify our
3	client on the robbery and bring him back. Those charges
4	will not be pursued any further.
5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Davis, did you hear
6	what's been said?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: And are you in agreement with
9	what's been said?
.0	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
.1	THE COURT: Those negotiations, you've
.2	discussed these with your lawyers Mr. Gibson and Mr. Dahl?
. 3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: And there is no one who is forcing
.5	you to do this, to enter a plea of guilty, are they?
.6	THE DEFENDANT: No.
.7	THE COURT: With respect to Count I is there
. 8	going to be any amended pleading filed?
.9	MR. HENRY: Your Honor, I had not prepared one.
0	I just move to strike the penalty allegation, the penalty
21	enhancement allegation of use of a deadly weapon.
22	THE COURT: That will be the order then.
23	As to Count I charging you with murder, what is
24	your plea?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

-11

Case 3:99-cv-00137-ECR-VPC Document 17-4 Filed 06/18/99 Page:23 of 40 POLICE DEPARTMENT

City of North Las Vegas 1301 East Lake Mead Blvd.

Date:	08-01-88	

Signed: 2 Cinnon in Daniel

PRIOR TO ANY QUESTIONING, YOU MUST UNDERSTAND THE FOLLOWING RIGHTS

You have the right to remain silent.

Al Caldan

20 124 (Rait 3/RG)

- Anything you say can be used against you in a court of law.
- You have the right to the presence of an attorney prior to any questioning.
- If you cannot afford an attorney, one will be appointed to you prior to any questioning if you so desire. If you wish to waive all of the above rights, and answer questions now without an attorney present, you have the right to stop answering questions at any time during the interview.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND FULLY WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN TOLD: Ans: 4755 Sign: () 1000

WAIVER

I can read and write the English language and I have read and understand the statement of my rights as shown above. I understand that I have the right to remain silent, that anything I say can be used again me in a court of law, that I have the right to the presence of an attorney before any questioning, that if I cannot afford an attorney one will be appointed to me prior to any questioning, if I so desire.

I hereby waive my rights as shown above and I am willing to answer questions and make a formal statement.

I understand and know what I am doing. No promises or threats have been made to me and no pressure of any kind has been used against me.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Witness:				
KO T VC		above, do hereby or reward. My ge. I reside at	Julest Webb B	<u></u>
I'm D	et. Adams, I'm investigating a h	omicide that	occured on 07-31-88	
at 25	Britz #B. I'm interviewing Jim	mie Davis, b	lack male, sixteen	
years	old. Also in the interview is	Jimmie Davis	's uncle, Webster	
Davis	. Jimmie, at approx. 5:15 PM la	st date woul	d you tell us where	
you w	ere at?			
A:	at the apt. 25 Britz Cir #B wh	ere the woma	n was shot.	
Q:	Would you tell us who was in t	he apt. with	you?	
A:	Arthur, Ringo.			
Q:	Do you know Arthur's last name	?		
A:	I don't know his last name?	-	EXHIBIT C	
Ŭ №:	Does he have a nickname?	_		
·				
n. 20 124 (par		G-UVV	Will Constitute	
Ŭ / Υ: Α:	I don't know his last name? Does he have a nickname? Yes, Junior.×	- -	EXHIBIT C	

1 -

1 2 3 THE COURT: C85087, State of Nevada 4 versus Jimmie Davis. 5 The record will show the 6 presence of the defendant; counsel, Mr. Gibson; Mr. 7 Jerbic for the State. This matter is on for initial 8 arraignment. 9 Did you receive a copy of the 10 information? 11 MR. GIBSON: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Do you wish to have it 13 read? 14 MR. GIBSON: No, we will waive that. 15 THE COURT: All right. Is Jimmie Davis 16 your true name? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. 18 THE COURT: How much schooling have you 19 had, Mr. Davis? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Two years. 21 THE COURT: Do you read, write, and 22 understand the English language? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. 24 THE COURT: All right. Have you been 25

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

2 JIMMIE DAVIS

V.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Petitioner

CASE NO.C85078 DEPT.NO IV DOCKET "C"

THE WARDEN OF ELY STATE PRISON E.K.MCDANIEL Respondent

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

I JIMMIE DAVIS DO HEREBY swear under the penalty of perjury hat the assertions of this affidavit are true:

- 1 I am the above defendant in the entitled action.
- 2 I make this affidavit in support of my motion for writ

of habeas corpus.

s competent to testing That petitioner called upon to testify the would be able to do

law.

- 4 That petitioner is entitled to the relief sought.
- 5 Tthat petitoner makes this affidavit in good faith.
- 6That petioner was denied due process of law
- 7 That peritioner was denied the effective assistance of counsel at prelimanary, during plea negotiations, and plea hearing, courselnever explained to petitioner that the robbry was not apart of the plea negotiations and that petitioner was illegally charged.
- 8 that counsel was ineffective because he never talked to defendants uncle about how the arrest was done and or if any rights were read to defendant.

28

9 That defendant was not read his rights prior to his statements 1 to police. 2 10That defendant was taken into one room with his uncle and que-3 stioned where no rights were read, he then was taken into another room where on the way in the hallway his unclespoke to a karen 5 Payn who came with him and said they would be ready to go in a 6 lminute becaus she had some personal buisness to attend to so she sat on the chair while they went into another room where a female typed every thing that was said and no rights were read in this room eather, they then were taken into the orignal room again where the defendant was asked to sighen by the X and thenread his 10 rights and told to empty his pockets he was under arrest for mur 11 der. he gave the contents to his uncle and was cuffed. 12 11 Webster Leonard Davis and Karen Payn can testify to these facts because webster Davis was with the defendant and Karen Payne was 13 in the hallway waiting for them ind watered them go from one rooms to the next Durring which have to the recommend Davis. 14 with milme because and see greed in adult court 15 the morning he asked a black female Gaurd Wen was he dvenile and she asked him how 16 old he was and he told her he was 16 and she said you are not 17 going to juvenile and walked off singging a church song. 18 13that counsel was ineffective when he failed to explain that he 19 was illegally charged with the underlying feloney of robbery. 20 21 14 That defendant was denied effective assistance of counsel 22 when counsel gave false statement to attorney for the state 23 about defendants age and he was held to answer for the ro-24 bbery charge in adult court when he was suppose to be charged 25 μ in juvenile court $\,$ or certified as to the robbery charge . 26 facts supported by the record.and to all witnesses that should 27

100.

28

be called to t stify.

15 That efendant was tokd robbry would be dropped in return for his plea of guilty and it is apart of the plea negotiations

16 That the record is bare of which plea agreement defendant pled ghilty to , the one the attorney for the state proposed or the one proposed by the defendants counsel

17 Attorney for the state misled defendants counsel and defendant with a false plea aggreent and by stating on record that robbry had nithing to do with the plea negotiations when counsel for the defendant contridict thison record.

18That if counsel would have at least read the defendants statements to the police at the preliminary hearing he would have known that defendant was 16 because statement says it right at the top therfor counseles ineffectivness made him unable to make reasonable decesions for the defendants case when he

19 That defendant believed was rightfully charged with robbry and would not have pleaded guilty if he would have known he was illagly charged with the robbry

et defendant answer to the robb court.

20 Attorney for the state and attorney for the defendant coerced defendant into pleading guilty by letting the under lying feloney hang above defendants head for which he was illagly charged for 3to4 months and then used in plea negotiations.

21That plea cannot stand when it is induced by coercion trickory, or false promisesmade by the state or counsel for the defendant.

22That defendant was told that prosocution will not persue robbry charge futherand it is apart of the plea agree-

ment and negotiations in return for his plea of guilty.

23 that on the sighned waiver at the top where it says DO YOU

UNDERSTAND FULLY WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THE DEFENDANT

DID NOT write the word yes and the detective did when hecannot do this because he is not the one givving up his rights.

JIMMIE DAVIS 27362

I the undersigned, hereby declare under under the penalty of perjury, pursuant to NRS 208.165, that the forgoing is true and correct.

Excuted this 31 DAY OF AUGUST 1995 at Ely state prison.

LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY; WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 12, 1988

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: C85078, Jimmie Davis. The record will show the presence of the defendant, his counsel, Mr. Gibson and Mr. Dahl, and Mr. Henry for the State.

Mr. Henry.

MR. HENRY: Your Honor, we have a proposed resolution. The defendant right now stands charged in an Information with two counts, murder with use of a deadly weapon and robbery with use of a deadly weapon. Before I describe the negotiations I should inform the court that I do not have that it is properly charged with robbery we

use of a dead wearon. At the preliminary hearing stage

initially believed him to be 16. One of his attorneys inadvercently told me he was 18. Because of that I proceeded against him with an amended complaint charging him with robbery with use of a deadly weapon and he was held to answer.

After he was held to answer I've had discussions with his counsel and conducted some investigation and I believe him to be 16. And as I understand the law now although a person of any age can originally be charged in the adult courts with murder, for

any other crime even if it was committed during the 1 murdero transaction he has to be charged originally in 2 juvenile court. 3 THE COURT: He has to be certified. 4 MR. HENRY: Therefore initially I'd move to 5 dismiss Count II and I want the record to reflect and I 6 believe that his counsel will agree on the record that the 7 dismissal of Count II in no way has anything to do with the 8 negotiation and is not consideration. 9 Having said that --10 THE COURT: That's jurisdictional. 11 MR. HENRY: Yes. 12 THE COURTS I will grant that motion. Count I 13 is ordered dimissed of that reason. 14 MR. HE A Having said that, the proposed 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

negotiation would be that the defendant plead to first degree murder without the use of a deadly weapon as a lesser included offense of Count I and stipulate that the punishment for that first degree murder would be life without the possibility of parole in the Nevada State Prison.

MR. DAHL: That's correct. This plea is being made pursuant to N.R.S. 174.065, the party agrees to a degree of crime if there's separate degrees of crime, and also in the case of murder stipulate to a punishment less

Case 3.99-cv-00137-ECR-VPC Document 17-4 Filed 06/18/99 Page 31 of 40

1	than death. And the other understanding is, Your Honor,
2	that the State will not go down and try to certify our
3	client on the robbery and bring him back. Those charges
4	will not be pursued any further.
5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Davis, did you hear
6	what's been said?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: And are you in agreement with
9	what's been said?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Those negotiations, you've
12	discussed these with your lawyers Mr. Gibson and Mr. Dahl?
13	THE DEFENDANT: Yes
14	wire collection of the first and ording
15	you to do this, to eliminate they?
16	THE DEFENDANT: No.
17	THE COURT: With respect to Count I is there
18	going to be any amended pleading filed?
19	MR. HENRY: Your Honor, I had not prepared one.
20	I just move to strike the penalty allegation, the penalty
21	enhancement allegation of use of a deadly weapon.
22	THE COURT: That will be the order then.
23	As to Count I charging you with murder, what is
24	your plea?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

Case 3:99-cv-00137-ECR-VPC Document 17-4 Filed 06/18/99 Page 32 of 40

City of North Las Vegas 1301 East Lake Mead Blvd.

Date: 08	-01-88	
----------	--------	--

PRIOR TO ANY QUESTICNING, YOU MUST UNDERSTAND THE FOLLOWING RIGHTS

- You have the right to remain silent.
- 2. Anything you say can be usen against you in a court of law.
- 3. You have the right to the presence of an attorney prior to any questioning.
- 4. If you cannot afford an atterney, one will be appointed to you prior to any questioning if you so desire.

 If you wish to waive all of the above rights, and answer questions now without an attorney present,

- you have the right to stop asswering questions at any time during the interview.

DO YOU UNDERSTAND FULLY WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN TOLD: Ans: 425 Sign: () 1 Marie 1/20

WAIVER

I can read and write the English language and I have read and understand the statement of my rights as shown above. I understand that I have the right to remain silent, that anything I say can be used against me in a court of law, that I have the right to the presence of an attorney before any questioning, that if I cannot afford an attorney one will be appointed to me prior to any questioning, I I so desire.

I hereby waive my rights as shown above and I am willing to answer questions and make a formal statement.

I understand and know what I am doing. No promises or threats have been made to me and no pressure of any kind has been used against me. Signed: 2 O ima in Dringer Witness: _, first having been duly informed of my rights by Dox (2-1) M) ____, as shown above, do hereby make the following statement freely and voluntarily and without promises of immunity or reward. My name is 1 1-000 Promises of age. I reside at 1 1-000 Promises of age. I reside at 1 1-000 Promises of age. my phone number is I'm Detr Adams, I'm investigating a homicide that occured on 07-31-88 at 25 Britz #B. I'm interviewing pimmie Davis, black male, sixteen years old. Also in the interview is Jimmie Davis's uncle, Webster Davis. Jimmie, at approx. 5:15 PM last date would you tell us where you were at? A: at the apt. 25 Britz Cir #B where the woman was shot. Would you tell us who was in the apt. with you? 0: A: Arthur, Ringo. Do you know Arthur's last name? Q:I don't know his last name? Α: EXHIBIT C Does he have a nickname? UN:

Yes, Junior.x (\)

A:

20 12/1 (Per 3/9G)

a-converte Charles

11-

25

2

1 2 3 THE COURT: C85087, State of Nevada versus Jimmie Davis. 5 The record will show the 6 presence of the defendant; counsel, Mr. Gibson; Mr. 7 Jerbic for the State. This matter is on for initial 8 9 arraignment. Did you receive a copy of the 10 information? 11 12 MR. GIBSON: Yes. THE COURT: Do you wish to have it 13 MR. GIBSON: No, we will-waive 15 THE COURT: All right. Is Jimmie Davis 16 17 your true name? THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. 18 THE COURT: How much schooling have you 19 20 had, Mr. Davis? THE DEFENDANT: Two years. 21 THE COURT: Do you read, write, and 22 understand the English language? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. 24 THE COURT: All right. Have you been

ANDRES R. RAPPARD, ESQ.,
Attorney at Law
Nevada Bar Number 003892
ANDRES R. RAPPARD, CHTD.,
A Professional Corporation
633 South Fourth Street, Suite 8
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-1772
Attorney for Defendant
JIMMIE DAVIS

will 4 will da

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

) CASE NO. C85078

Plaintiff,
) DEPT. NO. IV

DOCKET: C

VS.
) FIRST AMENDED PETITION FOR A

JIMMIE DAVIS,
) WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Hearing Date: 11-18-95

Hearing Time: 9:00 A.M.

COMES NOW, JIMMIE DAVIS, Defendant-Petitioner, by and through his attorney, ANDRES RAPPARD, and files his First Amended Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus and would respectfully show:

- 1. Defendant, JIMMIE DAVIS (hereinafter "DAVIS"), is in the custody of Mr. E.K. McDaniel, Warden of Ely State Prison.
- 2. DAVIS is in custody in violation of the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

-PERTINENT FACTS-

3. Defendant was arrested at the end of July, 1988, and booked on murder and robbery. On August 1, 1988, after a confession was made, detectives had Defendant sign a police form (attached as Exhibit P-1) indicating he understood his rights, but Defendant never indicated yes or no on the form, so detective Al Adams entered "yes" for Defendant.

- 4. Eventually, Defendant pled guilty and stipulated to a sentence of life without parole and, on December 12, 1988, a Judgment of Conviction was entered.
- 5. The attorney of record did not consult with Defendant about whether or not a Notice of Appeal would be filed and thus a notice was not filed.
- 6. A Petition for Post-Conviction Relief was filed alleging:
- (A) that counsel's trickery, misrepresentations, force, and coercion led defendant to plead guilty;
- (B) that the life without parole sentence was violative of the cruel and unusual punishment prohibitions of the Nevada and federal constitution;
- (C) that the life without parole sentence was violative of the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment; and
- (D) that counsel was ineffective for several reasons, including for telling defendant an all-white jury would convict him and for not recognizing that there was no malice aforethought.
- 7. On about March 25, 1995, the District Court denied that Petition and the Supreme Court of Nevada dismissed an appeal on about January 24, 1994.
- 8. On about July 3, 1995, the Eighth District Court denied a proper person motion to vacate sentence alleging that materially false information was presented during the sentencing hearing and that decision was not appealed.
- 9. Finally, Defendant filed, in proper person, a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus which alleged

three grounds for relief as set forth below:

9

0

3

6

GROUND ONE

10. The prosecuting attorney coerced defendant's plea by filing robbery against the juvenile defendant though the juvenile had not been certified resulting in a tainted and coerced guilty plea which should be withdrawn.

GROUND TWO

11. Counsel, Mr. Stephen Dahl, was ineffective for failing to file a motion to dismiss the improper robbery charge, instead leaving it on file as leverage to obtain a guilty plea from his client and a sentence equal to the worst sentence likely from trial without a plea bargain.

GROUND THREE

- 12. The confession was obtained illegally and was not admissible for any purpose.
- 13. The court orally advised present counsel that supplemental pleadings could be filed and therefore counsel is submitting this amended petition and would allege one additional ground as set forth below.

GROUND FOUR

- 14. Counsel was ineffective for failing to obtain defendant's consent prior to deciding not to file a notice of appeal.
- 15. Defendant has submitted numerous facts pertinent to the first three grounds and therefore that material is incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit P-2 (proper person petition). A reading of the proper person materials will familiarize the court with the various sub-parts of each of the original three grounds.

6

ARGUMENT ONE

THIS PETITION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR RAISING ISSUES NOT CONTEMPLATED BY NRS 34.810 SINCE THIS PROCEDURAL BAR IS NOT STRICTLY OR REGULARLY ENFORCED.

It is settled law that where a state does not regularly or strictly enforce its procedural bars, the claims in habeas must be heard on the merits. See <u>Johnson v. Mississippi</u>, 486 U.S. 578, 587 (1988)(quoting <u>Hathorn v. Lovorn</u>, 457 U.S. 255, 262-263 (1982)(a state procedural bar is not adequate unless it is strictly or regularly enforced). Here counsel could show, through discovery, that multitudinous petitions and grounds for relief have been heard on the merits in Nevada courts which could have been barred by NRS 34.810 if it was strictly or regularly enforced. Thus, it would be wholly inappropriate to bar either this petition or any of its grounds based upon the selective use of NRS 34.810 and counsel asks that a ruling on the merits be made.

ARGUMENT TWO

SINCE THESE GROUNDS ARE NEW AND DIFFERENT FROM THOSE OF THE FORMER PETITION, THEY SHOULD BE HEARD.

It is true that NRS 34.810.2 does provide that a second or successive petition must be dismissed if the judge or justice determines that it fails to allege new or different grounds for relief and that the prior determination was on the merits or, if new or different grounds are alleged, the judge or justice finds that the failure of the petitioner to assert the grounds in the

previous petition constituted an abuse of the writ.

See NRS 34.810, 1985.

3

In reviewing the original proper person petition, it is at once evident that the two petitions are different and the grounds are sufficiently different to not simply be a rehash of the former petition. Finally, counsel has added a completely new ground which has resulted in the release of one Nevada prisoner already.

Further, with a second grade education and a sentence of life without parole, defendant should not be found to be abusing the writ process.

ARGUMENT THREE

DEFENDANT DID NOT CONSENT TO A WAIVER OF THE NOTICE OF APPEAL AND PREJUDICE TO HIS RIGHT TO COUNSEL IS TO BE PRESUMED IN SUCH SITUATIONS WITH RELEASE BEING THE ONLY EFFECTIVE REMEDY.

In a recent and relevant federal decision, Honorable Lloyd George, United States District Judge for the District of Nevada, Las Vegas, ordered defendant, Jose Lozada, released unconditionally from confinement since Nevada attorneys took the position that Nevada could not comply with the federal requirement that Lozada either be provided with a late direct appeal or be released.

On December 27, 1994, finding that Nevada and its statutes could not provide a late appeal, Judge George unconditionally released Mr. Lozada from state custody.

The State of Nevada appealed the release order and that appeal is still pending. See <u>Lozada v. Deeds</u>, 111 S.Ct. 860, 112 L.Ed.2d 956 (1991)(prisoner released where state admitted that it would not or could not comply with federal order to provide

petitioner with late appeal, not any other remedy).

2

3

The <u>Lozada</u> case stands for the principle that a decision of counsel not to file a Notice of Appeal must be with the consent of the represented party. Staff at counsel's law office have viewed the release order pertaining to Mr. Lozada which is currently being held, according to the federal clerk's office, with official court records in Laguna Niguel, California, in the original case file (Original Case no. CV-S-89-173-P). A copy will be obtained if deemed necessary by this court.

Here, as in Lozada's case, there is no evidence on the record that DAVIS ever consented to the decision not to file a notice of appeal.

DAVIS had a real and important right to appeal even though he pled guilty. See <u>Franklin v. State</u>, 877 P.2d 1058 (Nev. 1994)(persons who plead guilty and allege that they did not waive the right to appeal are entitled to allege a violation of their rights in habeas with counsel to assist them). It does not matter what the issue on appeal would have been or could have been.

DAVIS, though he stipulated to life without parole, did so in the absence of being informed that he could have appealed. Minus this crucial information, DAVIS was in no position to decide whether to file a notice of appeal or not. Prejudice to DAVIS' sixth amendment rights is presumed when the whole record does not reveal that DAVIS consented to not filing the notice of appeal. See <u>Lozada v. Deeds</u>, 871 P.2d 944 (Nev. 1994)(prejudice presumed if it is established that counsel's failure to file a notice of appeal is without consent of defendant).

Where such is the case in DAVIS, prejudice to his rights is presumed. Relief can and must be afforded by the state court. The proper remedy is release where the state's statutory scheme is such that there is no provision for a late appeal. This is so because the right to appeal is a basic right which may not be abrogated under federal law. Counsel asks that DAVIS be released, as was Lozada.

ARGUMENT FOUR

DURING AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING, PETITIONER WOULD SHOW HIS CONFESSION WAS MADE PRIOR TO A PROPER MIRANDA WARNING AND THEREFORE INADMISSIBLE.

As Exhibit P-1 (proper person petition) shows, DAVIS wrote a comprehensible allegation that his confession was taken prior to the Miranda warnings. If this is so, then petitioner would be entitled to relief. See Miranda v. Arizona, 86 S.Ct. 1602 (1966) Paine v. State, 877 P.2d 1025 (Nev. 1994)(prisoner who pleaded guilty to murder was adequately informed when given two Miranda warnings prior to confession). Here, DAVIS has specifically alleged that his warnings were given after the confession. Also see United States v. Swint, 15 F.3d 286, 290 (3rd Cir. 1994)(confession involuntary when defendant thought statements were off the record and police did not clearly inform defendant they were on the record).

In DAVIS' case, defendant should be allowed an evidentiary hearing at which he could prove his allegations.

ARGUMENT FIVE

DEFENDANT IS ENTITLED TO AN EVIDENTIARY HEARING ON HIS REMAINING CLAIMS THAT COUNSEL AND/OR THE PROSECUTOR CAUSED AN INVOLUNTARY GUILTY PLEA

When something more than naked allegations are made which,